# IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

In re:	§	Chapter 11
	§	
W.R. GRACE & CO., et al.,	§	Jointly Administered
	§	Case No. 01-01139 (KJC)
Debtors.	§	
	§	

FEE AUDITOR'S FINAL REPORT REGARDING THE FINAL APPLICATION OF LEGAL ANALYSIS SYSTEMS, INC., FOR COMPENSATION FOR SERVICES RENDERED AND REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES FOR THE PERIOD OF APRIL 12, 2001 THROUGH FEBRUARY 3, 2014

This is the final report of Warren H. Smith & Associates, P.C., acting in its capacity as fee auditor in the above-captioned bankruptcy proceedings, regarding the <u>Final Application of Legal Analysis Systems</u>, Inc., for Compensation for Services Rendered and Reimbursement of Expenses for the Period of April 12, 2001 through February 3, 2014 (the "Application").

# **BACKGROUND**

1. Legal Analysis Systems, Inc. ("LAS"), was retained as asbestos-related bodily injury consultant to the Official Committee of Asbestos Personal Injury Claimants. In the Application,

LAS seeks final approval of fees totaling \$6,531,074.75<sup>1</sup>,<sup>2</sup> and expenses totaling \$63,808.08<sup>3</sup> for its services from April 12, 2001 through February 3, 2014 (the "Final Application Period").

2. In conducting this audit and reaching the conclusions and recommendations contained herein, we reviewed in detail the Applications in their entirety, including each of the time and expense entries included in the exhibits to the Applications, for compliance with 11 U.S.C. § 330, Local Rule 2016-2 of the Local Rules of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware, Effective February 1, 2014, and the United States Trustee Guidelines for Reviewing Applications for Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses Filed Under 11 U.S.C. §330, Issued January 30, 1996 (the "U.S. Trustee Guidelines"), as well as for consistency with precedent established in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware, the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, and the Third Circuit Court of Appeals. We have no issues with, or objections to, the Application, and thus we did not send an initial report to LAS.

#### **DISCUSSION**

# **Prior Interim Applications**

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>We note that the total of the fees requested in LAS' 41 prior fee applications is \$6,538,815.25. It appears that LAS has deducted from this amount all of the reductions ordered by the Court for the prior periods, which periods are discussed in more detail in paragraph 3, to arrive at the figure it seeks of \$6,531,074.75. We note that the Court has ruled on the First through Fifty-First Interim Periods, but no ruling has been made on the Fifty-Second Interim Period.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>We noted that although LAS requested final approval of fees totaling \$6,531,074.75, we calculate LAS' final approved fees at \$6,531,074.25, for a difference of \$0.50. The reason for the \$0.50 discrepancy is that LAS listed its approved fees for the First, Second, and Third Interim Periods as \$48,663.50, but the Court approved \$48,663.00. Thus, we recommend a \$0.50 reduction to correct the error.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>We note that the total of the expenses requested in LAS' 41 prior fee applications is \$66,144.55. It appears that LAS has deducted from this amount all of the reductions ordered by the Court for the prior periods, which periods are discussed in more detail in paragraph 3, to arrive at the figure it seeks of \$63,808.08. We note that the Court has ruled on the First through Fifty-First Interim Periods, but no ruling has been made on the Fifty-Second Interim Period.

3. We note that we previously filed the following final reports for LAS' prior interim applications, which final reports we incorporate by reference herein, and we also note the following orders that ruled on LAS' prior interim fee applications:

Amended Fee Auditor's Final Report Regarding Fee Application of Legal Analysis Systems, Inc. for the First, Second and Third Interim Periods (Docket #2937) filed on or about November 4, 2002, in which we recommended approval of fees totaling \$48,663.00 and expenses totaling \$1,780.88, reflecting our recommended reduction of \$7,675.00 in fees and \$220.50 in expenses, as further explained in paragraphs 9, 10, 11 and 12 of that final report. These recommendations were adopted in the Order Approving Quarterly Fee Applications for the First, Second and Third Periods, dated November 25, 2002 (Docket #3091).

5th Period: Fee Auditor's Final Report Regarding Fee Application of Legal Analysis

Systems, Inc. for the Fifth Interim Period (Docket #2936) filed on or about

November 4, 2002, in which we recommended approval of fees totaling
\$159,537.50 and expenses totaling \$6,577.95, reflecting our recommended
reduction of \$111.77 in expenses, as further explained in paragraph 6 of that
final report. These recommendations were adopted in the Order Approving

Quarterly Fee Applications for the Fifth Period, dated November 25, 2002
(Docket #3092).

6<sup>th</sup> Period: <u>Fee Auditor's Final Report Regarding Fee Application of Legal Analysis</u>

<u>Systems, Inc. for the Sixth Interim Period</u> (Docket #3294) filed on or about

January 30, 2003, in which we recommended approval of fees totaling

1<sup>st</sup> - 3<sup>rd</sup> Periods:

\$477,045.00 and expenses totaling \$19,017.25, reflecting our recommended reduction of \$66.00 in fees and \$832.82 in expenses, as further explained in paragraphs 7, 8 and 10 of that final report. These recommendations were adopted in the Order Approving Quarterly Fee Applications for the Sixth Period, dated March 14, 2003 (Docket #3511).

7<sup>th</sup> Period:

Fee Auditor's Final Report Regarding Fee Application of Legal Analysis Systems, Inc. for the Seventh Interim Period (Docket #3622) filed on or about April 7, 2003, in which we recommended approval of fees totaling \$85,149.00. These recommendations were adopted in the Order Approving Quarterly Fee Applications for the Seventh Period, dated July 28, 2003 (Docket #4157).

8<sup>th</sup> Period:

Fee Auditor's Final Report Regarding Fee Application of Legal Analysis Systems, Inc. for the Eighth Interim Period (Docket #3991) filed on or about July 2, 2003, in which we recommended approval of fees totaling \$2,239.00. These recommendations were adopted in the Order Approving Quarterly Fee Applications for the Eighth Period, dated September 22, 2003 (Docket #4480).

9<sup>th</sup> Period:

Fee Auditor's Final Report Regarding Fee Application of Legal Analysis Systems, Inc. for the Ninth Interim Period (Docket #4449) filed on or about September 18, 2003, in which we recommended approval of fees totaling \$8,848.00. These recommendations were adopted in the Order Approving Quarterly Fee Applications for the Ninth Period, dated December 15, 2003 (Docket #4827).

10<sup>th</sup> Period: Fee Auditor's Final Report Regarding Fee Application of Legal Analysis

Systems, Inc. for the Tenth Interim Period (Docket #5033) filed on or about

January 30, 2004, in which we recommended approval of fees totaling

\$21,758.00. The Court approved \$21,758.50 in fees in the Order Approving

Quarterly Fee Applications for the Tenth Period, dated April 26, 2004

(Docket #5482).

12<sup>th</sup> Period: Fee Auditor's Amended Combined Final Report Regarding Those Fee Applications with No Fee or Expense Issues for the Twelfth Interim Period (Docket #6320), filed on or about September 3, 2004, in which we recommended approval of fees totaling \$20,212.50. These recommendations were adopted in the Order Approving Quarterly Fee Applications for the Twelfth Period, dated September 27, 2004 (Docket #6485).

13<sup>th</sup> Period: Fee Auditor's Amended Combined Final Report Regarding Those Fee Applications with No Fee or Expense Issues for the Thirteenth Interim Period (Docket #7013), filed on or about November 22, 2004, in which we recommended approval of fees totaling \$17,977.50. These recommendations were adopted in the Order Approving Quarterly Fee Applications for the Thirteenth Period, dated January 26, 2005 (Docket #7622).

14<sup>th</sup> Period: <u>Fee Auditor's Combined Final Report Regarding Those Fee Applications</u> with No Fee or Expense Issues for the Fourteenth Interim Period (Docket #7982), filed on or about March 8, 2005, in which we recommended approval of fees totaling \$35,115.00 and expenses totaling \$492.96. These recommendations were adopted in the Order Approving Quarterly Fee

Applications for the Fourteenth Interim Period, dated March 22, 2005 (Docket #8081).

15<sup>th</sup> Period: Fee Auditor's Combined Final Report Regarding Those Fee Applications with No Fee or Expense Issues for the Fifteenth Interim Period (Docket #8561), filed on or about June 6, 2005, in which we recommended approval of fees totaling \$1,455.00. These recommendations were adopted in the Order Approving Quarterly Fee Applications for the Fifteenth Interim Period, dated June 29, 2005 (Docket #8728).

16<sup>th</sup> Period: Fee Auditor's Combined Final Report Regarding Those Fee Applications with No Fee or Expense Issues for the Sixteenth Interim Period (Docket #9387), filed on or about September 12, 2005, in which we recommended approval of fees totaling \$12,000.00. These recommendations were adopted in the Order Approving Quarterly Fee Applications for the Sixteenth Period, dated September 27, 2005 (Docket #9513).

17<sup>th</sup> Period: Fee Auditor's Combined Final Report Regarding Those Fee Applications with No Fee or Expense Issues for the Seventeenth Interim Period (Docket #11168), filed on or about November 28, 2005, in which we recommended approval of fees totaling \$30,255.00 and expenses totaling \$216.37. These recommendations were adopted in the Order Approving Quarterly Fee Applications for the Seventeenth Period, dated December 21, 2005 (Docket #11402).

18<sup>th</sup> Period: <u>Fee Auditor's Combined Final Report Regarding Those Fee Applications</u> with No Fee or Expense Issues for the Eighteenth Interim Period (Docket #12019), filed on or about March 9, 2006, in which we recommended approval of fees totaling \$38,812.50. These recommendations were adopted in the Order Approving Quarterly Fee Applications for the Eighteenth Period, dated March 27, 2006 (Docket #12121).

19<sup>th</sup> Period: Fee Auditor's Combined Final Report Regarding Those Fee Applications with No Fee or Expense Issues for the Nineteenth Interim Period (Docket #12525), filed on or about May 25, 2006, in which we recommended approval of fees totaling \$39,142.50. These recommendations were adopted in the Order Approving Quarterly Fee Applications for the Nineteenth Period, dated June 16, 2006 (Docket #12660).

20<sup>th</sup> Period: Fee Auditor's Combined Final Report Regarding Those Fee Applications with No Fee or Expense Issues for the Twentieth Interim Period (Docket #13183), filed on or about September 8, 2006, in which we recommended approval of fees totaling \$46,712.50. These recommendations were adopted in the Order Approving Quarterly Fee Applications for the Twentieth Period, dated September 26, 2006 (Docket #13298).

21st Period: Fee Auditor's Combined Final Report Regarding Those Fee Applications with No Fee or Expense Issues for the Twenty-First Interim Period (Docket #13963), filed on or about December 8, 2006, in which we recommended approval of fees totaling \$107,480.00 and expenses totaling \$210.00. These recommendations were adopted in the Order Approving Quarterly Fee Applications for the Twenty-First Period, dated December 19, 2006 (Docket #14069).

Period: Fee Auditor's Combined Final Report Regarding Those Fee Applications with No Fee or Expense Issues for the Twenty-Second Interim Period (Docket #14868), filed on or about March 15, 2007, in which we recommended approval of fees totaling \$412,232.50. These recommendations were adopted in the Order Approving Quarterly Fee Applications for the Twenty-Second Period, dated May 3, 2007 (Docket #15494).

23<sup>rd</sup> Period: Fee Auditor's Final Report Regarding Fee Application of Legal Analysis

Systems, Inc for the Twenty-Third Interim Period (Docket #15889), filed on
or about May 29, 2007, in which we recommended approval of fees totaling
\$631,458.75 and expenses totaling \$8,307.41, reflecting our recommended
reductions of \$866.38 in expenses, as further explained in paragraphs 7 and
8 of that final report. These recommendations were adopted in the Order
Approving Quarterly Fee Applications for the Twenty-Third Period, dated
June 20, 2007 (Docket #16105).

24<sup>th</sup> Period: Fee Auditor's Combined Final Report Regarding Those Fee Applications with No Fee or Expense Issues for the Twenty-Fourth Interim Period (Docket #16786), filed on or about September 10, 2007, in which we recommended approval of fees totaling \$487,316.00. These recommendations were adopted in the Order Approving Quarterly Fee Applications for the Twenty-Fourth Period, dated September 25, 2007 (Docket #16916).

25<sup>th</sup> Period: <u>Fee Auditor's Combined Final Report Regarding Those Fee Applications</u> with No Fee or Expense Issues for the Twenty-Fifth Interim Period (Docket #17542), filed on or about December 4, 2007, in which we recommended approval of fees totaling \$547,634.00 and expenses totaling \$12,500.00. These recommendations were adopted in the <u>Order Approving Quarterly Fee</u>

<u>Applications for the Twenty-Fifth Period</u>, dated December 13, 2007 (Docket #17629).

26<sup>th</sup> Period:

Fee Auditor's Combined Final Report Regarding Those Fee Applications with No Fee or Expense Issues for the Twenty-Sixth Interim Period (Docket #18176), filed on or about February 29, 2008, in which we recommended approval of fees totaling \$750,915.50. These recommendations were adopted in the Order Approving Quarterly Fee Applications for the Twenty-Sixth Period, dated March 12, 2008 (Docket #18270).

27<sup>th</sup> Period:

Fee Auditor's Combined Final Report Regarding Those Fee Applications with No Fee or Expense Issues for the Twenty-Seventh Interim Period (Docket #18887), filed on or about June 9, 2008, in which we recommended approval of fees totaling \$514,527.50 and expenses totaling \$574.38. These recommendations were adopted in the Order Approving Quarterly Fee Applications for the Twenty-Seventh Period, dated June 23, 2008 (Docket #18989).

28<sup>th</sup> Period:

<u>Fee Auditor's Final Report Regarding Fee Application of Legal Analysis</u> Systems, Inc. for the Twenty-Eighth Interim Period (Docket #19506) filed on or about September 9, 2008, in which we recommended approval of fees totaling \$837,579.00 and expenses totaling \$9,297.82, reflecting our recommended reduction of \$305.00 in expenses, as further explained in

paragraph 3 of that final report. These recommendations were adopted in the Order Approving Quarterly Fee Applications for the Twenty-Eighth Period, dated October 1, 2008 (Docket #19663).

29<sup>th</sup> Period: Fee Auditor's Combined Final Report Regarding Those Fee Applications with No Fee or Expense Issues for the Twenty-Ninth Interim Period (Docket #20150), filed on or about November 26, 2008, in which we recommended approval of fees totaling \$256,882.50. These recommendations were adopted in the Order Approving Quarterly Fee Applications for the Twenty-Ninth Period, dated December 17, 2008 (Docket #20283).

30<sup>th</sup> Period: Fee Auditor's Combined Final Report Regarding Those Fee Applications with No Fee or Expense Issues for the Thirtieth Interim Period (Docket #21063), filed on or about March 21, 2009, in which we recommended approval of fees totaling \$48,157.50. These recommendations were adopted in the Order Approving Quarterly Fee Applications for the Thirtieth Period, dated April 2, 2009 (Docket #21173).

Fee Auditor's Combined Final Report Regarding Those Fee Applications with No Fee or Expense Issues for the Thirty-First Interim Period (Docket #22047), filed on or about June 10, 2009, in which we recommended approval of fees totaling \$72,400.00. These recommendations were adopted in the Order Approving Quarterly Fee Applications for the Thirty-First Period, dated July 7, 2009 (Docket #22354).

32<sup>nd</sup> Period: <u>Fee Auditor's Combined Final Report Regarding Those Fee Applications</u> with *De Minimis* or No Fee or Expense Issues for the Thirty-Second Interim <u>Period</u> (Docket #23240), filed on or about September 15, 2009, in which we recommended approval of fees totaling \$269,270.00. These recommendations were adopted in the <u>Order Approving Quarterly Fee</u>

<u>Applications for the Thirty-Second Period</u>, dated September 28, 2009 (Docket #23352).

33<sup>rd</sup> Period:

Fee Auditor's Combined Final Report Regarding Those Fee Applications with No Fee or Expense Issues for the Thirty-Third Interim Period (Docket #23927), filed on or about December 3, 2009, in which we recommended approval of fees totaling \$154,137.50. These recommendations were adopted in the Order Approving Quarterly Fee Applications for the Thirty-Third Period, dated December 11, 2009 (Docket #23996).

34<sup>th</sup> Period:

Fee Auditor's Amended Combined Final Report Regarding Those Fee Applications with *De Minimis* or No Fee or Expense Issues for the Thirty-Fourth Interim Period (Docket #24438), filed on or about March 11, 2010, in which we recommended approval of fees totaling \$300,425.00 and expenses totaling \$4,833.06. These recommendations were adopted in the Order Approving Quarterly Fee Applications for the Thirty-Fourth Period, dated March 19, 2010 (Docket #24470).

35<sup>th</sup> Period:

Fee Auditor's Combined Final Report Regarding Those Fee Applications with *De Minimis* or No Fee or Expense Issues for the Thirty-Fifth Interim Period (Docket #24856), filed on or about May 28, 2010, in which we recommended approval of fees totaling \$15,257.00. These recommendations were adopted in the Order Approving Quarterly Fee Applications for the

Thirty-Fifth Period, dated June 7, 2010 (Docket #24917).

36<sup>th</sup> Period: Fee Auditor's Amended Combined Final Report Regarding Those Fee Applications with *De Minimis* or No Fee or Expense Issues for the Thirty-Sixth Interim Period (Docket #25384), filed on or about September 10, 2010, in which we recommended approval of fees totaling \$4,940.00. These recommendations were adopted in the Order Approving Quarterly Fee Applications for the Thirty-Sixth Period, dated September 13, 2010 (Docket #25397).

37<sup>th</sup> Period: Fee Auditor's Combined Final Report Regarding Those Fee Applications with No Fee or Expense Issues for the Thirty-Seventh Interim Period (Docket #25850), filed on or about December 2, 2010, in which we recommended approval of fees totaling \$2,000.00. These recommendations were adopted in the Order Approving Quarterly Fee Applications for the Thirty-Seventh Period, dated December 10, 2010 (Docket #25905).

38<sup>th</sup> - 39<sup>th</sup> Periods: Fee Auditor's Amended Combined Final Report Regarding Those Fee

Applications with No Fee or Expense Issues for the Thirty-Eighth and

Thirty-Ninth Interim Periods (Docket #27116), filed on or about June 21,

2011, in which we recommended approval of fees totaling \$5,070.00.

These recommendations were adopted in the Order Approving Quarterly

Fee Applications for the Thirty-Ninth Period, dated June 30, 2011

(Docket #27188).

40<sup>th</sup> Period: <u>Fee Auditor's Combined Final Report Regarding Those Applications with</u>

<u>De Minimis</u> or No Fee or Expense Issues for the Fortieth Interim Period

(Docket #27507), filed on or about August 25, 2011, in which we recommended approval of fees totaling \$18,212.50. These recommendations were adopted in the <u>Order Approving Quarterly Fee Applications for the</u> Fortieth Period, dated September 20, 2011 (Docket #27622).

43<sup>rd</sup> - 44<sup>th</sup> Periods: Fee Auditor's Combined Final Report Regarding Those Fee Applications

with *De Minimis* or No Fee or Expense Issues for the Forty-Fourth

Interim Period (Docket #29449), filed on or about August 13, 2012, in

which we recommended approval of fees totaling \$1,522.50. These

recommendations were adopted in the Order Approving Quarterly Fee

Applications for the Forty-Fourth Period, dated October 19, 2012 (Docket #29746).

46<sup>th</sup> Period: Fee Auditor's Combined Final Report Regarding Those Fee Applications with *De Minimis* or No Fee or Expense Issues for the Forty-Sixth Interim Period (Docket #30382), filed on or about March 7, 2013, in which we recommended approval of fees totaling \$3,410.00. These recommendations were adopted in the Order Approving Quarterly Fee Applications for the Forty-Sixth Period, dated March 27, 2013 (Docket #30440).

47<sup>th</sup> Period: Fee Auditor's Amended Combined Final Report Regarding Those Fee

Applications with *De Minimis* or No Fee or Expense Issues for the Forty
Seventh Interim Period (Docket #30840), filed on or about July 17, 2013, in

which we recommended approval of fees totaling \$9,066.00. These
recommendations were adopted in the Order Approving Quarterly Fee

Applications for the Forty-Seventh Period, dated July 31, 2013 (Docket

#30907).

48<sup>th</sup> Period: Fee Auditor's Combined Final Report Regarding Those Fee Applications with *De Minimis* or No Fee or Expense Issues for the Forty-Eighth Interim Period (Docket #31080), filed on or about September 6, 2013, in which we recommended approval of fees totaling \$1,362.00. These recommendations were adopted in the Order Approving Quarterly Fee Applications for the

Forty-Eighth Period, dated September 24, 2013 (Docket #31158).

50<sup>th</sup> Period: <u>Fee Auditor's Combined Final Report Regarding Those Fee Applications</u> with *De Minimis* or No Fee or Expense Issues for the Fiftieth Interim Period (Docket #31838), filed on or about March 11, 2014, in which we recommended approval of fees totaling \$5,920.00. This recommendation was adopted in the <u>Order Approving Quarterly Fee Applications for the Fiftieth</u> Period, dated March 11, 2014 (Docket #31963).

Fee Auditor's Combined Final Report Regarding Those Fee Applications with *De Minimis* or No Fee or Expense Issues for the Fifty-First Interim Application Period (Docket #32197), filed on or about May 13, 2014, in which we recommended approval of fees totaling \$28,976.00. This recommendation was adopted in the Order Approving Quarterly Fee Applications for the Fifty-First Period, dated May 23, 2014 (Docket #32216).

4. We have reviewed the final reports and orders allowing fees and expenses for the prior interim periods, and we do not believe there is any reason to change any of the amounts awarded for the prior interim periods.

Case 01-01139-AMC Doc 32355 Filed 07/30/14 Page 15 of 17

#### **CONCLUSION**

5. Thus, we recommend final approval of \$6,531,074.25 in fees (\$6,531,074.75<sup>4</sup> minus \$0.50)<sup>5</sup> and \$63,808.08<sup>6</sup> in expenses for LAS' services for the Final Application Period.

Respectfully submitted,

WARREN H. SMITH & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

Bv·

Warren H. Smith Texas State Bar No. 18757050

2235 Ridge Road, Suite 105 Rockwall, Texas 75087 214-698-3868 214-722-0081 (fax) whsmith@whsmithlaw.com

FEE AUDITOR

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>We note that the total of the fees requested in LAS' 41 prior fee applications is \$6,538,815.25. It appears that LAS has deducted from this amount all of the reductions ordered by the Court for the prior periods, which periods are discussed in more detail in paragraph 3, to arrive at the figure it seeks of \$6,531,074.75. We note that the Court has ruled on the First through Fifty-First Interim Periods, but no ruling has been made on the Fifty-Second Interim Period.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>We noted that although LAS requested final approval of fees totaling \$6,531,074.75, we calculate LAS' final approved fees at \$6,531,074.25, for a difference of \$0.50. The reason for the \$0.50 discrepancy is that LAS listed its approved fees for the First, Second, and Third Interim Periods as \$48,663.50, but the Court approved \$48,663.00. Thus, we recommend a \$0.50 reduction to correct the error.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>We note that the total of the expenses requested in LAS' 41 prior fee applications is \$66,144.55. It appears that LAS has deducted from this amount all of the reductions ordered by the Court for the prior periods, which periods are discussed in more detail in paragraph 3, to arrive at the figure it seeks of \$63,808.08. We note that the Court has ruled on the First through Fifty-First Interim Periods, but no ruling has been made on the Fifty-Second Interim Period.

Case 01-01139-AMC Doc 32355 Filed 07/30/14 Page 16 of 17

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document has been served by First Class United States mail to the attached service list on this 31st day of July, 2014.

Warren H. Smith

#### **SERVICE LIST**

#### **Notice Parties**

### **The Applicant**

Mark A. Peterson Legal Analysis Systems, Inc. 970 Calle Arroyo Thousand Oaks, CA 91360

#### The Debtors

Richard Finke **Assistant General Counsel** W R Grace & Co 7500 Grace Drive Columbia, MD 21044

# **United States Trustee**

Richard Schepacarter Office of the United States Trustee 844 King Street, Lockbox 35, Room 2207 Wilmington, DE 19801

# **Counsel for the Debtors**

John Donley Adam Paul Kirkland & Ellis LLP 300 North LaSalle Street Chicago, IL 60654

Laura Davis Jones, Esq. James E. O'Neill Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP 919 North Market Street, 17th Floor P.O. Box 8705 Wilmington, DE 19899-8705

# Counsel to the Official Committee of

# **Unsecured Creditors**

Denise Wildes Stroock & Stroock & Lavan 180 Maiden Lane New York, NY 10038-4982

Michael R. Lastowski Duane Morris LLP 222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1600 Wilmington, DE 19801

# Counsel to the Official Committee of Property **Damage Claimants**

Scott L. Baena Jay Sakalo Bilzin, Sumberg, Baena, Price & Axelrod 1450 Brickell Avenue, Suite 2300 Miami, FL 33131

Michael B. Joseph Theodore J. Tacconelli Lisa Coggins Ferry & Joseph, P.A. 824 Market Street, Suite 904 Wilmington, DE 19801

# **Counsel to the Official Committee of Personal**

**Injury Claimants** 

Elihu Inselbuch Rita Tobin Caplin & Drysdale 600 Lexington Avenue, 21st Floor New York, NY 10022-6000

Marla R. Eskin Mark Hurford Kathleen Campbell Davis Campbell & Levine, LLC 222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1620 Wilmington, DE 19801

# Counsel to the Official Committee of Equity Holders

Philip Bentley David E. Blabey, Jr. Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel 1177 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036

Teresa K.D. Currier Saul Ewing LLP 222 Delaware Avenue P.O. Box 1266 Wilmington, DE 19899